

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE RFQ EVALUATION

1. **Procurement number:** G/RFQ/UNAM-05/2024
2. **The scope of the contract:** Red Hat Annual Subscription Renewal (2Years)
3. **Estimated cost:** N\$ 35 000.00 per annum.
4. **Funding agency:** University of Namibia
5. **Procurement method used:** Request for Sealed Quotations
6. **Date of invitation of bids:** 28 February 2024
7. **Closing date for submission of bids:** 25<sup>th</sup> March 2024
8. **Date and place of opening of bids:** 25 March 2024, Unam Main Campus, Windhoek
9. **Number of bids received:** 10

### 1. BIDS RECEIVED:

<b>BIDDERS NAME</b>		<b>TOTAL COST (EXCL. VAT) 1 Year</b>	<b>TOTAL COST (EXCL.VAT) 2 Years</b>
1.	PHP Interactive IT Solutions	N\$31 498.20	N\$95 494.22 <b>3Years</b>
2.	Tekmak Investment	N\$50 000.00	N\$95 000.00
3.	Acunam Technology Group	N\$13 423.20	N\$26 846.40
4.	Amana Asset Auditors	N\$17 627.99	N\$35 232.99
5.	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	N\$31 495.74	N\$62 991.50
6.	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	N\$28 663.04	N\$57 326.06
7.	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	N\$32 652.24	N\$65 889.26
8.	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	N\$31 799.82	N\$63 767.44
9.	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd	N\$15 228.96 <b>Opt1</b> N\$16 759.60 <b>Opt 2</b> <b>After Increment</b>	<b>Did not quote</b>
10.	JumpCo Consulting (Pty) Ltd	N\$14 976.36	N\$29 951.35

## 2. STATUTORY DOCUMENTS EVALUATION

#	BIDDER	Bid Validity Period (90 days req)	BSD	CR	NAMRA good standing	SSC Good standing	Min. of Labour undertaking	AA Certificate	Quotation letter completed & signed	Price activity schedule completed & signed	Specifications & Compliance Sheet completed and signed
1.	PHP Interactive IT Solutions	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2.	Tekmak Investment	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
3.	Acunam Technology Group	90 (subject to ROE)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
4.	Amana Asset Auditors	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
5.	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
6.	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
7.	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
8.	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
9.	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd	90	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
10.	JumpCo Consulting (Pty) Ltd	90	YES	YES	YES SARS	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	Signed not Completed

### (a) Disqualifications

The following bidders were disqualified from further evaluation for the following reasons:

#	Company name	Disqualification reason
1	PHP Interactive IT Solutions	The bidder was disqualified because their offer was above our estimated amount for the two years
2	Tekmak Investment	The bidder was disqualified because their offer was above our estimated amount for the two years
3	JumpCo Consulting (Pty) Ltd	The bidder was disqualified because they submitted <b>SARS</b> certificate instead of Namra no Social Security certificate was submitted and their Specifications and Compliance sheet was only signed and not completed.

**3. TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

The evaluation committee evaluated the remaining bid based on the Technical qualifications criteria outlined in the bidding document onpage 3.

<b>No</b>	<b>Mandatory Requirements</b>	<b>Amana Asset Auditors</b>	<b>Acunam Information Technology</b>	<b>Business Connexion</b>	<b>Dimension Date</b>	<b>Green Enterprise</b>	<b>Shine Technologies Solutions</b>	<b>Virtua Technologies</b>
<b>1.</b>	Literature to substantiate compliance sheet details the minimum specification of the Red Hat Annual subscription renewal partnership.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>

**(a) Disqualifications**

The following bidders were disqualified from further evaluation for the following reasons:

#	Company name	Disqualification reason
1	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	The bidder was disqualified because they did not submit the required literature (specification sheet) for the Red Hat Partner subscription
2	Dimension Data (Pty) Ltd	The bidder was disqualified because they did not submit the required literature (specification sheet) for the Red Hat Partner subscription
3	Shine Technologies Solutions	The bidder was disqualified because they did not submit the required literature (specification sheet) for the Red Hat Partner subscription
4	Virtua Technologies	The bidder was disqualified because they did not submit the required literature (specification sheet) for the Red Hat Partner subscription

**4. FINANCIAL EVALUATION**

#	BIDDER'S NAME	TOTAL AMOUNT VAT EXCL. (N\$) 1 Year	TOTAL AMOUNT VAT EXCL. (N\$) 2 Years
1.	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	N\$13 423.20	N\$26 846.40
2.	Amana Asset Auditors	N\$17 627.99	N\$35 232.99
3.	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	N\$32 652.24	N\$65 889.26

Two licenses are required, however there was an error in the bidding document with the quantities required which resulted in the bidders quoting only for one license instead of two. To remedy this situation, the quoted prices are multiplied by two to reflect the correct amount.

**5. RECCOMENDATION**

It's hereby recommended that the contract be awarded as follows to the lowest responsive bidder in terms of Section 55, Subsection 4 of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015. **Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd for N\$53,693.20 (VAT exclusive) N\$61,747.18 (VAT inclusive.)**